


Justice, Interrupted
Appendix

Figure A1: Roberts Court in two dimensions, 2005-2008



Source: Fischman and Jacobi, 2016.

Figure A2: Bar plot by Justice pairs, standardized scale




Table A1: Interruptions, by gender, ideology, seniority, volubility, 2004-2015

	<i>Interrupted</i>				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Female	0.0037*** (0.0009)	0.0027*** (0.0010)	0.0009 (0.0010)	0.0014 (0.0010)	0.0009 (0.0010)
MQ Score	-0.0007*** (0.0002)	-0.0008*** (0.0002)	-0.0006** (0.0002)	-0.0005** (0.0002)	-0.0007*** (0.0002)
Seniority		-0.0001** (0.0000)	-0.0002*** (0.0000)	-0.0002*** (0.0000)	-0.0002*** (0.0000)
Words					-0.0001*** (0.0000)
Obs	99,761	99,389	99,389	99,389	99,389
Adj R-squared	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.016	0.016
Dep Var Mean	0.0115	0.0115	0.0115	0.0115	0.0115

Note: Standard errors in parentheses. * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.
Standard errors clustered by judge-pair.

Table A2: Interruptions, by gender, seniority, volubility, 2004-2015

	<i>Interrupted</i>				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Female	0.0061*** (0.0008)	0.0062*** (0.0008)	0.0037*** (0.0009)	0.0042*** (0.0009)	0.0042*** (0.0009)
Seniority		0.0000 (0.0000)	-0.0000 (0.0000)	-0.0001 (0.0000)	-0.0001+ (0.0000)
Words					-0.0001*** (0.0000)
Obs	94,842	94,812	94,812	94,812	94,812
Adj R-squared	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.016	0.016
Dep Var Mean	0.0113	0.0113	0.0113	0.0113	0.0113

Note: Standard errors in parentheses. * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.
Standard errors clustered by judge-pair.

Table A3: Interruptions, by ideology, seniority, volubility, 2004-2015

	<i>Interrupted</i>				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
MQ Score	-0.0013*** (0.0002)	-0.0013*** (0.0002)	-0.0008*** (0.0002)	-0.0009*** (0.0002)	-0.0010*** (0.0002)
Seniority		-0.0001* (0.0000)	-0.0001** (0.0000)	-0.0001** (0.0000)	-0.0001*** (0.0000)
Words					-0.0001*** (0.0000)
Obs	94,829	94,808	94,808	94,808	94,808
Adj R-squared	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.016	0.016
Dep Var Mean	0.0113	0.0113	0.0113	0.0113	0.0113

Note: Standard errors in parentheses. * p<0.1, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.
Standard errors clustered by judge-pair.

Table A4: Full Ranking of Interruptees, unadjusted

Interruptee	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Ginsburg	97	22.99	22.99
Sotomayor	67	15.88	38.86
Kagan	46	10.9	49.76
Breyer	42	9.95	59.72
Scalia	29	6.87	66.59
Kennedy	28	6.64	73.22
Alito	21	4.98	78.2
Souter	21	4.98	83.18
Roberts	20	4.74	87.91
O'Connor	17	4.03	91.94
Stevens	15	3.55	95.5
Rehnquist	11	2.61	98.1
White	7	1.66	99.76
Blackmun	1	0.24	100
Total	422	100	

Table A5: Full Ranking of Interrupters, unadjusted

Interrupter	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Kennedy	80	18.96	18.96
Scalia	60	14.22	33.18
M-Advocate	54	12.8	45.97
Breyer	35	8.29	54.27
Rehnquist	33	7.82	62.09
Roberts	29	6.87	68.96
Ginsburg	24	5.69	74.64
Stevens	22	5.21	79.86
Alito	21	4.98	84.83
Kagan	18	4.27	89.1
O'Connor	13	3.08	92.18
Souter	12	2.84	95.02
Sotomayor	8	1.9	96.92
White	7	1.66	98.58
Marshall	4	0.95	99.53
F-Advocate	2	0.47	100
Total	422	100	

Table A6: Full Rankings of Justice Interruptions, 1990

Judge Interactions	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Rehnquist-O'Connor	5	13.16	13.16
Rehnquist-White	3	7.89	21.05
White-Scalia	3	7.89	28.95
Kennedy-O'Connor	2	5.26	34.21
Marshall-Kennedy	2	5.26	39.47
Scalia-Kennedy	2	5.26	44.74
Scalia-Stevens	2	5.26	50
Stevens-O'Connor	2	5.26	55.26
Stevens-White	2	5.26	60.53
White-Rehnquist	2	5.26	65.79
Kennedy-Blackmun	1	2.63	68.42
Kennedy-Scalia	1	2.63	71.05
Kennedy-Souter	1	2.63	73.68
Marshall-Rehnquist	1	2.63	76.32
Marshall-Scalia	1	2.63	78.95
O'Connor-Stevens	1	2.63	81.58
O'Connor-White	1	2.63	84.21

Rehnquist-Scalia	1	2.63	86.84
Rehnquist-Stevens	1	2.63	89.47
Scalia-White	1	2.63	92.11
Souter-O'Connor	1	2.63	94.74
White-Kennedy	1	2.63	97.37
White-Stevens	1	2.63	100
<hr/>			
Total	38	100	
<hr/>			

Table A7: Full Rankings of Justice Interruptions, 2002

Judge Interaction	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Kennedy-Ginsburg	16	9.41	9.41
Scalia-Ginsburg	11	6.47	15.88
Breyer-Ginsburg	10	5.88	21.76
M-Advocate-Ginsburg	10	5.88	27.65
Rehnquist-Ginsburg	9	5.29	32.94
Ginsburg-Souter	6	3.53	36.47
Stevens-Ginsburg	6	3.53	40
Ginsburg-Breyer	5	2.94	42.94
Scalia-Breyer	5	2.94	45.88
Scalia-Kennedy	5	2.94	48.82
Scalia-Souter	5	2.94	51.76
Souter-Ginsburg	5	2.94	54.71
Scalia-Rehnquist	4	2.35	57.06
Stevens-Breyer	4	2.35	59.41
Breyer-Souter	3	1.76	61.18
Kennedy-Breyer	3	1.76	62.94
Kennedy-Scalia	3	1.76	64.71
Kennedy-Stevens	3	1.76	66.47
O'Connor-Breyer	3	1.76	68.24
O'Connor-Scalia	3	1.76	70
Rehnquist-Kennedy	3	1.76	71.76
Rehnquist-Scalia	3	1.76	73.53
Rehnquist-Souter	3	1.76	75.29
Souter-Kennedy	3	1.76	77.06
Breyer-Kennedy	2	1.18	78.24
Ginsburg-Scalia	2	1.18	79.41
Kennedy-O'Connor	2	1.18	80.59
O'Connor-Ginsburg	2	1.18	81.76
Rehnquist-O'Connor	2	1.18	82.94
Rehnquist-Stevens	2	1.18	84.12
Scalia-O'Connor	2	1.18	85.29
Scalia-Stevens	2	1.18	86.47
Souter-Breyer	2	1.18	87.65
Stevens-Kennedy	2	1.18	88.82
Stevens-Rehnquist	2	1.18	90
Stevens-Scalia	2	1.18	91.18

Stevens-Souter	2	1.18	92.35
Breyer-Scalia	1	0.59	92.94
Breyer-Stevens	1	0.59	93.53
Ginsburg-Kennedy	1	0.59	94.12
Ginsburg-Stevens	1	0.59	94.71
Kennedy-Rehnquist	1	0.59	95.29
M-Advocate-Breyer	1	0.59	95.88
M-Advocate-O'Connor	1	0.59	96.47
M-Advocate-Scalia	1	0.59	97.06
O'Connor-Kennedy	1	0.59	97.65
O'Connor-Rehnquist	1	0.59	98.24
O'Connor-Souter	1	0.59	98.82
Rehnquist-Breyer	1	0.59	99.41
Souter-Stevens	1	0.59	100
Total	170	100	

Table A8: Full Rankings of Justice Interruptions, 2015

Judge Interaction	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
M-Advocate-Sotomayor	17	7.94	7.94
Kennedy-Sotomayor	14	6.54	14.49
Alito-Sotomayor	11	5.14	19.63
Kennedy-Ginsburg	11	5.14	24.77
Kennedy-Kagan	10	4.67	29.44
Scalia-Kagan	10	4.67	34.11
Roberts-Sotomayor	9	4.21	38.32
M-Advocate-Kagan	6	2.8	41.12
M-Advocate-Roberts	6	2.8	43.93
Roberts-Kagan	6	2.8	46.73
Alito-Kagan	5	2.34	49.07
Breyer-Kagan	5	2.34	51.4
Kagan-Ginsburg	5	2.34	53.74
Kagan-Sotomayor	5	2.34	56.07
M-Advocate-Breyer	5	2.34	58.41
Roberts-Alito	5	2.34	60.75
Scalia-Sotomayor	5	2.34	63.08
Kagan-Alito	4	1.87	64.95
Kennedy-Breyer	4	1.87	66.82
Kennedy-Roberts	4	1.87	68.69
M-Advocate-Ginsburg	4	1.87	70.56
Roberts-Ginsburg	4	1.87	72.43
Breyer-Alito	3	1.4	73.83
Breyer-Roberts	3	1.4	75.23
Ginsburg-Kagan	3	1.4	76.64
Kennedy-Alito	3	1.4	78.04
Roberts-Breyer	3	1.4	79.44
Sotomayor-Roberts	3	1.4	80.84
Alito-Breyer	2	0.93	81.78
Alito-Roberts	2	0.93	82.71
Breyer-Kennedy	2	0.93	83.64
Breyer-Scalia	2	0.93	84.58
Breyer-Sotomayor	2	0.93	85.51
F-Advocate-Sotomayor	2	0.93	86.45
Ginsburg-Sotomayor	2	0.93	87.38
Kagan-Scalia	2	0.93	88.32

Roberts-Kennedy	2	0.93	89.25
Scalia-Alito	2	0.93	90.19
Scalia-Breyer	2	0.93	91.12
Sotomayor-Alito	2	0.93	92.06
Alito-Ginsburg	1	0.47	92.52
Breyer-Ginsburg	1	0.47	92.99
Ginsburg-Alito	1	0.47	93.46
Ginsburg-Breyer	1	0.47	93.93
Ginsburg-Roberts	1	0.47	94.39
Ginsburg-Scalia	1	0.47	94.86
Kagan-Breyer	1	0.47	95.33
Kagan-Roberts	1	0.47	95.79
Kennedy-Scalia	1	0.47	96.26
M-Advocate-Alito	1	0.47	96.73
M-Advocate-Kennedy	1	0.47	97.2
M-Advocate-Scalia	1	0.47	97.66
Scalia-Ginsburg	1	0.47	98.13
Scalia-Kennedy	1	0.47	98.6
Sotomayor-Ginsburg	1	0.47	99.07
Sotomayor-Kagan	1	0.47	99.53
Sotomayor-Scalia	1	0.47	100
Total	214	100	